# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

#### AMARILLO DIVISION

KENNETH ALLEN HARDING, PRO SE, TDCJ-CID #723126, § § § Plaintiff, 2:06-CV-0041 v. § § TIMOTHY SIMMONS, DOROTHY BRITTON, CHRIS ROBLES, ROBERT HENTHORN, JEAN McALPINE, and ALBERT STEVENS. § Defendants

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Plaintiff KENNETH ALLEN HARDING, acting pro se and while incarcerated as a prisoner in the Baten Intermediate Sanction Facility<sup>1</sup>, has filed suit pursuant to Title 42, United States Code, section 1983 complaining against the above-referenced defendants and has been allowed to proceed in forma pauperis.

Plaintiff, a parole-violator confined in an intermediate sanction facility, claims the defendants have forced him to work without compensation in the form of good time credits or money and have given him disciplinary cases for refusing to work.

Plaintiff requests an award of \$100,000.00 from each defendant, as well as "court costs and legal fees", and that slavery be stopped for all offenders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Plaintiff has been re-released on parole since filing suit.

#### JUDICIAL REVIEW

When a prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity, or officer or employee of a governmental entity, the Court must evaluate the complaint and dismiss it without service of process, *Ali v. Higgs*, 892 F.2d 438, 440 (5th Cir. 1990), if it is frivolous<sup>2</sup>, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. 1915A; 28 U.S.C. 1915(e)(2). The same standards will support dismissal of a suit brought under any federal law by a prisoner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility, where such suit concerns prison conditions. 42 U.S.C. 1997e(c)(1). A *Spears* hearing need not be conducted for every *pro se* complaint. *Wilson v. Barrientos*, 926 F.2d 480, 483 n.4 (5th Cir. 1991)<sup>3</sup>.

The District Judge has reviewed plaintiff's pleadings and has viewed the facts alleged by plaintiff to determine if his claim presents grounds for dismissal or should proceed to answer by defendants.

## THE LAW AND ANALYSIS

The Fifth Circuit has repeatedly held that an inmate may be required to work without pay. The Thirteenth Amendment abolishes "slavery [and] involuntary servitude, except as punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted. . ." *Mikeska v. Collins*, 900 F.2d 833, 837 (5th Cir. 1990), *superseded and reinstated* on this point by *Mikeska v. Collins*, 928 F.2d 126 (5th Cir. 1991), (quoting the Thirteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, section 1). "[I]nmates

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A claim is frivolous if it lacks an arguable basis in law or in fact, *Booker v. Koonce*, 2 F.3d 114, 115 (5th Cir. 1993); *see, Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 112 S.Ct. 1728, 1733, 118 L.Ed.2d 340 (1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Cf, Green v. McKaskle, 788 F.2d 1116, 1120 (5th Cir. 1986) ("Of course, our discussion of Spears should not be interpreted to mean that all or even most prisoner claims require or deserve a Spears hearing. A district court should be able to dismiss as frivolous a significant number of prisoner suits on the complaint alone or the complaint together with the Watson questionnaire.").

Case 2:06-cv-00041-J-BB Document 8 Filed 09/05/06 Page 3 of 3 PageID 38

sentenced to incarceration cannot state a viable Thirteenth Amendment claim if the prison system

requires them to work." Ali v. Johnson, 259 F.3d 317 (5th Cir. 2001). Consequently, plaintiff's claim

lacks an arguable basis in law and is frivolous. Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 109 S.Ct. 1827,

104 L.Ed.2d 338 (1989).

**CONCLUSION** 

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, sections

1915A(b)(1) and 1915((e)(2)(b)(1), as well as Title 42, United States Code, section 1997e(c)(1), the

Civil Rights Claim filed pursuant to Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983, by plaintiff

KENNETH ALLEN HARDING is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE AS FRIVOLOUS.

LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.

A copy of this Order shall be mailed to plaintiff and to any attorney of record by first class

mail. The Clerk shall also mail copies of this Order of Dismissal to TDCJ-Office of the General

Counsel, P.O. Box 13084, Austin, TX 78711; and to the Pro Se Clerk at the U.S. District Court for

the Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division.

Any pending motions are DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ENTERED this 5<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2006.

/s/ Mary Lou Robinson

MARY LOU ROBINSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

3